Topological Multi-Robot Belief Space Planning in Unknown Environments ¹Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Israel Andrej Kitanov¹ and Vadim Indelman¹





1. Introduction

- Belief Space Planning (BSP) determines optimal control over the belief space with respect to a given objective, e.g. minimize state uncertainty
- instantiation of a Partially-Observable Markov Decision Process (POMDP)
- finding optimal solution to POMDP in the most general form is computationally intractable
- multi-robot (MR) BSP in unknown environments is such a case because of high dimensionality of the state space and exponential growth of the number of objective function evaluations with the number of robots

4. Technical approach

We consider R robots, each choosing between finite number of discrete actions/paths in each planning session and pose SLAM framework.

 $X_k^r = \{x_0^r, x_1^r, \dots, x_k^r\}$ robot's state (trajectory) $\mathcal{H}_k^r \doteq \{\mathcal{Z}_{0:k}^r, \mathcal{U}_{0:k-1}^r\} \operatorname{observations} ext{and controls up to time k}$

Let a robot r select a candidate path

 $\{x_{k+1}^r, \dots, x_{k+L}^r\} \Rightarrow$



2. Contribution

- we introduce a novel concept, topological belief space planning (tBSP), that uses topological properties of the underlying factor graph representation of future posterior beliefs to direct a search for an optimal solution
- no explicit inference required in optimization nor partial state covariance recovery
- enabling planning in high dimensional state spaces

3. Concept

- Key observations:
 - topological properties of factor graphs ____ dominantly determine the estimation accuracy
 - topological space is often less dimensional than the embedded state space
- Computation of topological metric is much

 $b[\mathcal{P}^r] \doteq \mathbb{P}(X_k^r, x_{k+1}^r, \dots, x_{k+L}^r | \mathcal{H}_k^r, U(\mathcal{P}^r), Z(\mathcal{P}^r))$

belief evolution over the future path of a single robot r

MR inference

 $X_k \doteq \{X_k^r\}_{r=1}^R$ multi-robot (joint) state at time k action = single variation of $\mathcal{P} \doteq \{\mathcal{P}^r\}_{r=1}^R$ robots' candidate paths $R \quad \left[L(\mathcal{P}^r) \right]$

$$\mathcal{P}] = \mathbb{P}(X_k | \mathcal{H}_k) \prod_{r=1}^n \left[\prod_{l=1}^r \mathbb{P}(x_{k+l}^r | x_{k+l-1}^r, u_{k+l}^r) \\ \cdot \mathbb{P}(Z_{k+l}^r | X_{k+l}^r) \prod_{\{i,j\}} \mathbb{P}(z_{i,j}^{r,r'} | x_i^r, x_j^{r'}) \right]$$

multi-robot pose SLAM posterior belief

Optimization problem

$$J(\mathcal{U}) = \frac{n}{2}\ln(2\pi e) + \frac{1}{2}\ln|\Sigma(X_{k+L})||$$

 $\mathcal{U}^{\star} = \arg\min J(\mathcal{U})$

find control \mathcal{U}^{\star} to improve estimation accuracy of the joint state X_{k+L} by minimizing the global entropy at the end of planning

Two graph signatures considered in tBSP:

$$\begin{split} s(G) &= H_{VN}(G) = -\sum_{i=1}^{|\Gamma|} \frac{\hat{\lambda}_i}{|\Gamma|} \ln \frac{\hat{\lambda}_i}{|\Gamma|} \\ &\approx 1 - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} - \frac{1}{|\Gamma|^2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} \frac{1}{d(i)d(j)} \end{split}$$
Von Neumann entropy of G (VN) which is further simplified with a function of graph node degrees d

signature based $s(G) = \frac{3}{2}\tau(G) + \frac{|\Gamma|}{2} [\ln |\Omega_w| - \ln(2\pi e)]$ on the number of spanning trees of G (ST)

Approximate solution based only on topological properties of FG

maximize function of the $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\star} = \arg\max s[G(\mathcal{U})]$ graph topology (a proxy for the true objective)

Algorithm 1 Topological BSP **Require:** set of factor graphs FG**Ensure:** approximate solution to the BSP, $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ 1: represent each FG with a topological graph G2: determine S_G , set of graph signatures of G3: rank graphs according to their signatures 4: $U = \{ \text{ top ranked candidates in } S_G \}$ 5: $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \arg \min J(U)$



faster then explicit evaluation of an objective function

East [m]

5. Results



tBSP applied to multi-robot collaborative active SLAM problem:

- leads to significantly improved relative error convergence speed w.r.t. \bullet exhaustive (EX) undirected evaluation of candidate actions (Fig. 1)
- not sensitive to initialization, as local methods are, e.g. announced path (AP) approach and with much less number of objective function evaluations until convergence (Table 1)

R = 2, |A| = 10, 25 planning sessions

	VN	\mathbf{ST}	AP	EX
mean	2.12	1.52	41.92	50
\min	1	1	24	1
max	12	5	60	100

Table. 1. number of objective function evaluations until convergence





(red and green) in a single planning session (S)







1000

S1: optimal solution found after one sample

-400

-300

-200

S2: relative error 2.5% after the first sample

cost

sampling session

Fig. 1. relative error and its 95 % confidence region

6. Conclusions

- a novel concept introduced, topological belief space planning to tackle computational complexity aspects of belief space planning (BSP) in high dimensional state spaces
- this general concept can be applied in multi-robot BSP to overcome main drawbacks of the state-of-the art exhaustive search and announced paths approaches



0.975

0.97

0.96

0.95

0.945

0.94

-900

-700

-800

-600

cost

-500

d 0.965

ब्रे 0.955



